## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE COLUMBIA DIVISION

| MICHAEL D. ROBINSON,   | )                     |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Plaintiff,             | )                     |
| v.                     | ) NO. 1:16-cv-00095   |
| SEAN BRANTLEY, et. al, | ) JUDGE CRENSHAW<br>) |
| Defendants.            | )                     |

## **ORDER**

Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, to which no timely objections have been filed. (Doc. No. 14.) The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and conducted a de novo review of the record. The Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED** as modified.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Injunction Relief Hearing (Doc. No. 10), construed only as a motion for a Temporary Restraining Order because it was filed without service to Defendants under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b), is **DENIED**. The denial is without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a motion for a preliminary injunction after he serves Defendants, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

WAVERLY (D). CRENSHAW, JR.()
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE